

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This supplemental amendment is being filed to correct some minor errors discovered in the previously filed response and to provide support for the amended claims.

CLAIM AMENDMENTS

The additional technical feature of previous claim 47 is added into claim 21 by the applicant, i.e. the following technical feature “said more buildings comprising at least one of ecological structures of Taiji graphic type, Eight Gua graphics type, hood type, frame hood type, tree frame type, tridimensional land type, tridimensional awning type, combined frames type, turret frame type, combined passage type, hacienda type, ecological village type, ecological town type, tridimensional ecological river type, tridimensional ecological bridge type, tridimensional ecological road type, tridimensional ecological wall type and organism cultivation mechanical frame type” is added into claim 21. At the same time, the feature “tridimensional warm house type” in the previous claim 47 is deleted, and the feature “organism cultivation mechanical frame type”, which is mentioned in line 8 of page 4 of the clean copy of the corrected English translation of the specification of the initial PCT application and is fully supported by the specification of the initial PCT application, is added.

Previous claim 47 is deleted.

The technical feature “the tridimensional ecological structure of said one building or said more buildings comprises a plurality of layers of ecological environment, said tridimensional ecological structure is of a structure form of opened type, closed type, openable and closable type or combined type and has a fixed type of organism cultivation device or a movable type of organism cultivation device, said fixed type of organism cultivation device or said movable type of organism cultivation device being vertically developed or horizontally developed” is also incorporated into claim 21. These amendments are fully supported by the original specification, and nothing new is added therein.

For instance, the technical feature “said tridimensional ecological structure is of a structure form of opened type, closed type, openable and closable type or combined type” is supported sufficiently by the contents in paragraph 1 on page 1 and lines 9-10 on page 1 of the clean copy of the corrected English translation of the specification of the initial PCT application.

The technical feature “said tridimensional ecological structure has a fixed type of organism cultivation device or a movable type of organism cultivation device, said fixed type of organism cultivation device or said movable type of organism cultivation device being vertically developed or horizontally developed” is supported by embodiments shown in Figs. 1-10. For example, the organism cultivation devices indicated by reference signs 17 and 73 in Fig. 1 are movable, and the movable type of tridimensional organism cultivation device denoted by reference sign 73 is developed vertically, i.e. expanding in upward and downward direction instead of expanding horizontally.

The tridimensional organism cultivation device indicated by reference sign 210-1 in the embodiment as shown in Fig. 4 may be fixed and is developed in the vertical direction. The tridimensional organism cultivation device indicated by reference sign 201 in the embodiment as shown in Fig. 4 is a structure form of horizontal development and is fixed simultaneously. Each of the embodiments as shown in Figs. 1-10 in the original specification of PCT application includes a plurality of layers of ecological environment and fully supports the technical feature “a plurality of layers of ecological environment”. The feature in new claim 48 is supported by the depiction in lines 21-24 on page 7 of the clean copy of the corrected English translation of the specification of the initial PCT application.

Corrections to the prior arguments:

Under Response to § 101 Rejection:

Page 13, middle of page, paragraph should read:

Although plants and animals qualify as natural phenomenon, claims 21-48 are not directed to the plants and animals per se. Instead the claims are directed to architecture which may include therein plants and animals. That is, the structures may contain plants and animals. Each of these claims includes structural features specifically defining the architecture in the claims. The architecture defined in the claims is subject matter to be protected. Hence, claims 21-~~46~~⁴⁸ comply with the provisions as prescribed in Article 101 of the U.S. Code.

Under Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

On page 19, middle paragraph, claim 1 should read claim 21.

Under Applicants Response:

Page 28, middle of page, in heading, **Applicants should read Applicant's**

Page 28, middle of page, paragraph should read:

In regard to comments of item 10, the terms (Taiji, Yixue, Gua, etc.) are still objected to. The applicant insists that a person of ordinary skill in the art should be an imaginary person who is not limited by nationalities and does know of knowledge that is not only known by an actual American technical person but also known by an actual technical person in other countries (including China). What is more, the claimed terms are not only known by a person of ordinary skill in the art in China, but also are known by technical persons in other countries. For example, signals regarding Yixue are provided on the pattern of national flag of the Republic of Korea.

Page 32, middle of page, paragraph should read:

In regard to comments of item 15, the examiner provides the following view: "Regarding applicant's argument that college campuses, farms and plantations do not meet the limitations of the claims, the Office Action maintains that the claims are provided that broadest reasonable interpretation and that the limitations with regard to the intended use of the space are met wherein the prior art is capable of performing the task set forth in the language of the claims. The Office Action maintains that Whitaker, college campuses, farms and plantations DO teach combining the elements defined in the claims". Applicant respectfully submits that the instant claims do not include Whitaker, the college campuses, farms, or plantations well known by a person of ordinary skill in the art. Whitaker, cCollege campuses, farms, or plantations, well known by a person of ordinary skill in the art, do not disclose to one skilled in the art the four technical features and characteristics of amended claim 21 nor provide technical teaching for these technical features and characteristics. As a result, claim 21 is not obvious over Whitaker, college campuses, farms and plantations well known by a person of ordinary skill in the art.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that no fee is required for this submission. If any fees are required or if an overpayment is made, the Commissioner is authorized to debit or credit our Deposit Account No. 19-0733, accordingly.

All rejections having been addressed, applicants respectfully submit that the instant application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully solicit prompt notification of the same.

Respectfully submitted,
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Dated: January 13, 2010

By: /Susan A. Wolffe/
Susan A. Wolffe
Registration No. 33,568

1100 13th Street, N.W., Suite 1200
Washington, D.C. 20005-4051
Tel: (202) 824-3000
Fax: (202) 824-3001

SAW:vlh